Carnegie Mellon

School of Computer Science

Deep Reinforcement Learning and Control

Learning from RL and demonstations, Adversarial imitation learning,

Fall 2021, CMU 10-703

Instructors: Katerina Fragkiadaki Ruslan Salakhutdinov



Last lecture

• Behaviour cloning for imitation learning. Assumes access to a set of trajectories $\mathcal{T} = \{o_1^j, a_1^j, o_2^j, a_2^j, o_3^j, a_3^j, \dots, o_T^j, a_T^j, j = 1...T\}$. Trains a policy by minimizing a standard supervised learning objective:

$$\mathscr{L}_{BC}(\theta, \mathscr{T}) = \mathbb{E}_{(s_t^j, a_t^j) \sim \mathscr{T}} \left[\|a_t^j - \pi_{\theta}(s_t^j)\|_2^2 \right]$$

Maximum Likelihood



$$\theta^* = \arg \max \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log p_{\text{model}}(x \mid \theta)$$

$$\theta^* = \arg \theta \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log p_{\text{model}}(x \mid \theta)$$

$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^N \log p_{\text{model}}(x_i \mid \theta)$$

Goodfellow, 2016

Maximum Likelihood



$$\begin{array}{l} \theta^* = \arg \max \mathbb{E}_x \sup_{\theta \to 0} \log p_{\text{model}}(x \mid \theta) \\ \theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log p_{\text{model}}(x \mid \theta) \\ \theta & \text{explicit density} \end{array}$$

Maximum Conditional Likelihood



$$\begin{array}{l} \theta^{*} = \arg \max \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} \log p_{model}(x \mid \theta) \\ \theta^{*} = \arg \max \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} \log p_{model}(x \mid \theta, c) \\ \theta \end{array}$$
explicit density
extra conditioning information

Maximum Conditional Likelihood



Maximum Likelihood-Gaussian with fixed covariance



Maximum Likelihood-Gaussian with fixed covariance

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x} | \theta, c) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \det(\Sigma)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu(\theta, \mathbf{c}))^{\mathsf{T}}\Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu(\theta, \mathbf{c}))\right), \text{ where } \Sigma = \mathbf{I}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{model}}(\mathbf{x} | \theta, c) = \mathbf{R}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{P}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{E}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{H}} \mathbf{U}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{H}}$$

BC Maximizes Conditional Likelihood



$$\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}} \log p_{\text{model}}(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$\mathscr{L}_{BC}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathscr{T}) = \mathbb{E}_{(s_t^j, a_t^j) \sim \mathscr{T}} \left[\|\boldsymbol{a}_t^j - \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{s}_t^j)\|_2^2 \right]$$

BC Maximizes Conditional Likelihood

$$\mathscr{L}_{BC}(\theta, \mathscr{T}) = \mathbb{E}_{(s_t^j, a_t^j) \sim \mathscr{T}} \left[\|a_t^j - \pi_{\theta}(s_t^j)\|_2^2 \right]$$



- Makes the expert actions most likely in the states of the expert trajectories.
- But what about the states not on the expert trajectories? There the actions are unconstrained!

Distribution mismatch (distribution shift)

 $P_{\pi^*}(\mathbf{0}_t) \neq P_{\pi_\theta}(\mathbf{0}_t)$



State-action distribution matching objective

 The state-action distribution from the expert trajectories and the state-action distribution that the agent visits by deploying the policy in the environment need to match.

- New solution to the compounding error problem of BC.
- Let's see how we can optimize this distribution matching objective.

Adversarial Nets Framework



$$\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$



(Goodfellow 2016)



Figure 3: (a) Updating the discriminator. (b) Updating the generator.

A Generator network (DCGAN)



(Radford et al 2015)

 $(Goodfellow \ 2016)$

Training Procedure

- Use SGD-like algorithm of choice (Adam) on two minibatches simultaneously:
 - A minibatch of training examples
 - A minibatch of generated samples
- Optional: run k steps of one player for every step of the other player.



Questions:

- What if the generator maps all noise vectors to a single super photorealistic image?
- What if we train the discriminator till convergence (it is just a supervised classifier...) and becomes perfect in distinguishing real from generated images?

A minimax game

$\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$

A better cost function

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$



 $\min_{G} \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[-\log(D(G(z)))]$

Gradients not informative when D close to 0



$$\max_{D} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$$

 $\min_{D} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log(1 - D(x))] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(D(G(z)))]$

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log \frac{D(x)}{D(x)} dx + \int_{z} p_{z}(z) \log(1 - \frac{D(G(z))}{D(z)}) dz$$

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) dx + \int_{z} p_{z}(z) \log(1 - D(G(z))) dz$$
$$\int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) dx + \int_{x} p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dx$$

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) dx + \int_{z} p_{z}(z) \log(1 - D(G(z))) dz$$
$$= \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) dx + \int_{x} p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dx$$
$$= \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dx$$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log \frac{D(x)}{D(x)} + p_G(x) \log(1 - \frac{D(x)}{D(x)}) dx$$

The discriminator assigns values D(x) to each image x. Let's take the derivative to see where the optimum is attained.

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log \frac{D(x) + p_G(x) \log(1 - D(x))}{dx}$$
$$\frac{d}{dD(x)} \left(p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) + p_G(x) \log(1 - D(x)) \right) = 0$$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{\text{data}}(x) \log \frac{D(x) + p_G(x) \log(1 - D(x))}{dx}$$
$$\frac{d}{dD(x)} \left(p_{\text{data}}(x) \log D(x) + p_G(x) \log(1 - D(x)) \right) = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow p_{\text{data}}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} - p_G(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)} = 0$$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{data}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dx$$
$$\frac{d}{dD(x)} \left(p_{data}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) \right) = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} - p_{G}(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)} = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} = p_{G}(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)}$$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{data}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dx$$

$$\frac{d}{dD(x)} \left(p_{data}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) \right) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} - p_{G}(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} = p_{G}(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x)(1 - D(x)) = p_{G}(x)D(x)$$

$$V(D,G) = \int_{x} p_{data}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) dx$$

$$\frac{d}{dD(x)} \left(p_{data}(x) \log D(x) + p_{G}(x) \log(1 - D(x)) \right) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} - p_{G}(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x) \frac{1}{D(x)} = p_{G}(x) \frac{1}{1 - D(x)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow p_{data}(x)(1 - D(x)) = p_{G}(x)D(x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow D^{*}(x) = \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}$$

 $C(G) = \max_D V(G, D)$

$$C(G) = \max_{D} V(G, D)$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D^*_G(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)}[\log(1 - D^*_G(G(z)))]$

$$C(G) = \max_{D} V(G, D)$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D^*_G(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z(z)} [\log(1 - D^*_G(G(z)))]$
= $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D^*_G(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_G(x)} [\log(1 - D^*_G(x))]$

$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G, D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z)))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x)]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G, D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z)))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x)]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G, D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z)))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ \\ \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}$$

$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G, D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z)))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x)]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 + \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{2p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{2p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \end{split}$$
$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G,D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{g}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 + \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{2p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G, D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 + \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{2p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log \frac$$

$$\begin{split} C(G) &= \max_{D} V(G, D) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{c}(z)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(G(z))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log D_{G}^{*}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - D_{G}^{*}(x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(1 - \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 + \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{2p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{2p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(\frac{p_{G}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_{G}(x)})] - \log 4 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log(x) + p_{G}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)} [\log(x) + p_{G}(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{G}(x)}] = \log 4 \\ &= 2D_{JSD} \left(p_{data}(x) + |p_{G}(x) \right) - \log 4 \end{split}$$

$$C(G) = \max_{D} V(G, D)$$

= $\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} [\log \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_G(x)}] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_G(x)} [\log(\frac{p_G(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_G(x)})]$
= $2D_{\text{JSD}} \left(p_{data}(x) || p_G(x) \right) - \log 4$

Since $D_{JSD} \ge 0$, $C(G) \ge -\log 4$

By setting $P_G(x) = p_{data}(x)$ in the equation above, we get:

$$C(G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} \log \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_G(x)} \log \frac{1}{2} = -\log 4$$

Thus generator achieves the optimum when $P_G(x) = p_{data}(x)$.

Next Video Frame Prediction



(Lotter et al 2016)

Maybe an explanation of why GANs work



The policy network will be our generator, that conditions on the state:

 $\pi_{\theta}(S) \to a$

Find a policy π_{θ} that makes it impossible for a discriminator network to distinguish between state-action pairs from the expert demonstrations and state-action pairs visited by the agent's policy π_{θ} :

$$\min_{\substack{\pi_{\theta} \\ D_{\phi}}} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\pi_{\theta}}[-\log(D_{\phi}(s,a))]$$

$$\min_{\substack{D_{\phi} \\ D_{\phi}}} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\text{Demo}}[\log(1-D_{\phi}(s,a))] + \mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\pi_{\theta}}[\log(D_{\phi}(s,a))]$$

The reward for the policy optimization is how well I matched the demonstrator's trajectory distribution, else, how well I confused the discriminator.

$$r(s,a) = \log D_{\phi}(s,a), (s,a) \sim \pi_{\theta}$$

Input: Expert trajectories , initial policy parameters θ_0 and initial discriminator weights ϕ_0

For i=0,1,2,3... **do**

- 1. Sample agent trajectories $\tau_i \sim \pi_{\theta_i}$
- 2. Update the discriminator parameters with the gradient:

$$\mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\sim\text{Demo}}[\nabla_{\phi}\log(1-D_{\phi}(s,a))] + \mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\in\tau_i}[\nabla_{\phi}\log(D_{\phi}(s,a))]$$

3. Update the policy using a policy gradient computed with the rewards, e.g., the REINFORCE policy gradient would be:

$$\mathbb{E}_{(s,a)\in\tau_i}[\nabla_{\theta}\log\pi_{\theta}\log D_{\phi_{i+1}}(s,a)]$$

end for



- GAIL: a reinforcement learning method with a reward based on trajectory distribution matching between the agent and an expert.
- BC: reduces imitation learning to supervised learning for individual actions.
- GAIL performs better than behaviour cloning but it requires MORE interactions with the environment.
- Q:Can BC or GAIL outperform the expert?

Imitation learning for diverse goals

- Pushing to diverse locations
- Pouring to different bottles
- Driving to different destinations

We need a way to communicate the goal during learning of the policy

Multi-goal Imitation learning and RL

- Often times we care to learn policies that achieve many related goals
- For example: push object A to (10,10,10) and to (10,12,10)
- The two policies should have many things in common
- Training such policies jointly may be beneficial

Universal value function approximators



• The experience tuples should contain the goal.

$$(s, a, r, s') \rightarrow (s, g, a, r, s')$$

Universal Value Function Approximators, Schaul et al.

Universal value function approximators



What should be my goal representation?

The goal representation is usually the same as your state representation. Usually one of the two:

- **Manual/oracle**: 3d centroids of objects, robot joint angles and velocities, 3d location of the gripper, etc.
- Learnt: Some feature encoding over images directly

Goal conditioned behavior cloning

• Assumes access to a set of trajectories

 $\mathcal{T} = \{o_1^j, a_1^j, o_2^j, a_2^j, o_3^j, a_3^j, \dots, o_T^j, a_T^j, g^j, j = 1...T\}$. Trains a policy by minimizing a standard supervised learning objective:

$$\mathcal{L}_{BC}(\theta, \mathcal{T}) = \mathbb{E}_{(s_t^j, a_t^j, g^j) \sim \mathcal{T}} \left[\|a_t^j - \pi_{\theta}(s_t^j, g^j)\|_2^2 \right]$$

Goal relabelling for jointly learning diverse goals

Idea: use failed executions under one goal g, as successful executions under an alternative goal g' (which is where we ended at the end of the episode).



Hindsight Experience Replay

Marcin Andrychowicz*, Filip Wolski, Alex Ray, Jonas Schneider, Rachel Fong, Peter Welinder, Bob McGrew, Josh Tobin, Pieter Abbeel[†], Wojciech Zaremba[†] OpenAI

Idea: use failed executions under one goal g, as successful executions under an alternative goal g' (which is where we ended at the end of the episode).



RL with goal relabelling



How to select states for relabelling



- Final: for each state, use the last state reached in the episode as a goal
- Future: for each state, use random 4 states (observed after the state) in the same episode as a goal

How to select states for relabelling



Above 8, the relabelled data are way more than real data, performance degrades.

How to select states for relabelling



Goal relabelling for jointly learning diverse goals

Idea: use failed executions under one goal g, as successful executions under an alternative goal g' (which is where we ended at the end of the episode).



In which of the tasks you think goal relabelling will help the most?

- Picking an object up?
- Reaching?
- Pushing an object to a location?
- All of the above considered jointly?

Goal-conditioned Imitation Learning

Yiming Ding* Department of Computer Science University of California, Berkeley dingyiming0427@berkeley.edu

Mariano Phielipp Intel AI Labs mariano.j.phielipp@intel.com Carlos Florensa* Department of Computer Science University of California, Berkeley florensa@berkeley.edu

Pieter Abbeel Department of Computer Science University of California, Berkeley pabbeel@berkeley.edu

Three ideas:

- Goal-conditioned GAIL
- Combining RL and imitation rewards
- Goal relabelling in both agent and expert trajectories

Goal GAIL

Input: Expert trajectories , initial policy parameters θ_0 and initial discriminator weights ϕ_0

For i=0,1,2,3... **do**

- 1. Sample agent trajectories $\tau_i \sim \pi_{\theta_i}$ (for each trajectory, we sample a goal and then run the goal conditioned policy in the environment)
- 2. Update the discriminator parameters with the gradient:

$$\mathbb{E}_{(s,a,g)\sim \text{Demo}}[\nabla_{\phi}\log(1-D_{\phi}(s,a,g))] + \mathbb{E}_{(s,a,g)\in\tau_{i}}[\nabla_{\phi}\log(D_{\phi}(s,a,g))]$$

3. Update the policy using a policy gradient computed with the rewards, e.g., the REINFORCE policy gradient would be:

 $\mathbb{E}_{(s,a,g)\in\tau_i}[\nabla_{\theta}\log\pi_{\theta}\log D_{\phi_{i+1}}(s,a,g)]$

end for

Combining imitation and task rewards

$$r(s,a) = \lambda r_{GAIL}(s,a) + (1-\lambda)r_{task}(s,a), \quad \lambda \in [0,1].$$

Relabelling expert trajectories

If $(s_t^j, a_t^j, s_{t+1}^j, g^j)$ is in a demonstration trajectory, we also add $(s_t^j, a_t^j, s_{t+1}^j, g' = s_{t+k}^j)$

Data augmentation on demonstrations!

Relabelling expert trajectories

If $(s_t^j, a_t^j, s_{t+1}^j, g^j)$ is in a demonstration trajectory, we also add $(s_t^j, a_t^j, s_{t+1}^j, g' = s_{t+k}^j)$

Data augmentation on demonstrations!

Relabelling can be used in GCBC, GCBC+HER, GoalGAIL,



Algorithm 1 Goal-conditioned GAIL with Hindsight: goalGAIL

1: Input: Demonstrations $\mathcal{D} = \{(s_0^j, a_0^j, s_1^j, ..., g^j)\}_{i=0}^D$, replay buffer $\mathcal{R} = \{\}$, policy $\pi_{\theta}(s, g)$, discount γ , hindsight probability p 2: while not done do # Sample rollout 3: $g \sim \texttt{Uniform}(\mathcal{S})$ 4: $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow \mathcal{R} \cup (s_0, a_0, s_1, ...)$ sampled using $\pi(\cdot, g)$ 5: # Sample from expert buffer and replay buffer 6: $\{(s_t^j, a_t^j, s_{t+1}^j, g^j)\} \sim \mathcal{D}, \{(s_t^i, a_t^i, s_{t+1}^i, g^i)\} \sim \mathcal{R}$ 7: # Relabel agent transitions 8: for each i, with probability p do 9: $g^i \leftarrow s^i_{t+k}, \ k \sim \text{Unif}\{t+1,\ldots,T^i\}$ \triangleright Use *future* HER strategy 10: end for 11: # Relabel expert transitions 12: $g^j \leftarrow s^j_{t+k'}, \quad k' \sim \text{Unif}\{t+1,\ldots,T^j\}$ 13: $r_t^h = \mathbb{1}[s_{t+1}^h = = g^h]$ 14: $\psi \leftarrow \min_{\psi} \mathcal{L}_{GAIL}(D_{\psi}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{R})$ (Eq. 3) 15: $r_t^h = (1 - \delta_{GAIL})r_t^h + \delta_{GAIL} \log D_{\psi}(a_t^h, s_t^h, g^h)$ Add annealed GAIL reward 16: # Fit Q_{ϕ} 17: $y_t^h = r_t^h + \gamma Q_\phi(\pi(s_{t+1}^h, g^h), s_{t+1}^h, g^h)$ \triangleright Use target networks $Q_{\phi'}$ for stability 18: $\phi \leftarrow \min_{\phi} \sum_{h} \|Q_{\phi}(a_t^h, s_t^h, g^h) - y_t^h\|$ 19: # Update Policy 20: $\theta + = \alpha \nabla_{\theta} \hat{J}$ (Eq. 2) 21: Anneal δ_{GAIL} ▷ Ensures outperforming the expert 22: 23: end while

Goal GAIL without actions

Input: Expert trajectories , initial policy parameters θ_0 and initial discriminator weights ϕ_0 .

For i=0,1,2,3... **do**

- 1. Sample agent trajectories $\tau_i \sim \pi_{\theta_i}$
- 2. Update the discriminator parameters with the gradient:

$$\mathbb{E}_{(s,s',g)\sim \text{Demo}}[\nabla_{\phi}\log(1-D_{\phi}(s,s',g))] + \mathbb{E}_{(s,s',g)\in\tau_i}[\nabla_{\phi}\log(D_{\phi}(s,s',g))]$$

3. Update the policy using a policy gradient computed with the rewards, e.g., the REINFORCE policy gradient would be:

 $\mathbb{E}_{(s,s',g)\in\tau_i}[\nabla_{\theta}\log\pi_{\theta}\log D_{\phi_{i+1}}(s,s',g)]$

end for

GoalGAIL outperforms GAIL and HER



Experience replay helps ALL methods



(a) Continuous Four rooms (b) Pointmass block pusher (c) Fetch Pick & Place

(d) Fetch Stack Two

https://sites.google.com/view/goalconditioned-il/

Reinforcement and Imitation Learning for Diverse Visuomotor Skills

Yuke Zhu[†] Ziyu Wang[‡] Josh Merel[‡] Andrei Rusu[‡] Tom Erez[‡] Saran Tunyasuvunakool[‡] Nando de Freitas[‡] János Kramár[‡] Raia Hadsell[‡] [†]Computer Science Department, Stanford University, USA [‡]DeepMind, London, UK

Serkan Cabi[‡] Nicolas Heess[‡]

Ideas:

- Combine imitation and task rewards.
- Start episodes by setting the world in states of the demonstration trajectories.
- Asymmetric actor-critic: the value network takes as input the low-dim state of the system and the policy is trained from pixels.
- Only scene state info to the discriminator
- Co-train the policy CNN with auxiliary tasks
- Sim2REAL via domain randomization.

Combining imitation and task rewards

$$r(s,a) = \lambda r_{GAIL}(s,a) + (1-\lambda)r_{task}(s,a), \quad \lambda \in [0,1].$$

Combining imitation and task rewards

 $\min_{G} \max_{D} V(D,G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)}[\log D(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_{z}(z)}[\log(1 - D(G(z)))]$

$$r(s,a) = \lambda r_{GAIL}(s,a) + (1-\lambda)r_{task}(s,a), \quad \lambda \in [0,1].$$

$$r_{GAIL}(s, a) = -\log(1 - D(s, a))$$

Asymmetric actor-critic

- The value network takes as input the low-dim state of the system (3D object location and velocities and relative distances between objects and the gripper) and the policy is trained from pixels directly. Why?
- This means we need to have access to such state information at training time, but not at test time.





Resetting on demonstation states is extremely helpful!


(a) Ablation study of model components

(b) Model sensitivity to λ values

- Learning value function from pixels directly is slow
- Not using the GAIL imitation reward but rather using demos just to start episodes in demo states is slow
- No task reward (just imitation) seems not to work. Why?
- No auxiliary task: not big problem.
- Not masking arm info from the discriminator creates problems